WESTERN STATE COLLEGE OF LAW

EVIDENCE Section 213B
Spring 2020 SYLLABUS
Mondays 6:40 PM-9:50 PM
And Wednesdays (first 4 weeks only) 6:40-9:50 pm
4 Units

Professor Robert Molko
Office: Second Floor 201K
Phone: 714-459-1149
rmolko@wsulaw.edu

1. Objectives and Learning Outcomes:
This course has two objectives. First and foremost, the course will assist you to develop the analytical skills which are essential for you to “handle” evidence issues, i.e., to identify from the facts the appropriate issues to be addressed and to address these issues by creatively and intelligently applying the rules of evidence to the facts. Part of this process will be the development of an approach to analyzing evidence issues. Second, the course will provide you with an introductory survey of most of the important issues in Evidence Law. This will include how the 6th Amendment Right of Confrontation preempts any statutory evidence rule in criminal cases.

Evidence issues arise in criminal and in civil proceedings; and they arise in both the pretrial phase of a matter and at trial. Our goal in this course will be several fold: (i) first, as with virtually all law school courses, we will learn and understand both Federal and California “rules”, as well as the interpretative case law; (ii) we will practice applying the rules to real life practice problems (of which there are approximately 120 in our text); and (iii) in the process, we will exercise that most important of all lawyer skills, imagination, in creating arguments for and against the admissibility of particular evidence.

Because of time limitations, some evidence topics will not be addressed at all, such as presumptions and judicial notice. These are, however, important areas of evidence law. Students can obtain a basic understanding of these topics from Lilly’s Principles of Evidence — Concise Hornbook Series, a respected and concisely written hornbook (see infra “Recommended Text”). After completing the current course, students can develop a more refined understanding and mastery of these and other evidence issues by taking one or more advanced evidence electives such as Evidence Practice and Criminal Evidence. Consult the W.S.C.L. Catalogue for course descriptions.

It is expected that when you have completed this course, you will be able to: i) demonstrate knowledge of substantive evidence law; ii) argue the admissibility of evidence in different contexts by applying the evidence rules that you have learned; iii) identify the evidentiary issues implicated in any fact pattern and apply the learned rules to reach the appropriate conclusions about the admissibility of the evidence at issue; iv) effectively
communicate the issues involved, the legal argument and the proper analysis both verbally and in writing; v) identify the legislative history and social policy behind each of the evidence rules.

2. Required Texts:
   2018-2019 Federal & California Evidence Rules, Leach & Uhrig, Aspen Publisher
   Printed on Facing Pages for Comparative Study

3. The Staircase movie: The Wonsowicz casebook uses a documentary movie – The Staircase – as illustrative of the role of the rules of evidence we will be studying. I have assigned 29 clips from the movie as mandatory “watching.” You should be able to watch the clips STAIRCASE on your personal computer or on a computer in the library. If you do not watch the clips before class, a portion of our class discussion will not mean very much. You can access these clips by using the provided URL in the syllabus attachment. Clips 1-7 are required viewing for the first class. The list of clips will be posted on the webcourse and at the end of this syllabus.

4. Optional books:
   This text is harder to use than the required Leach & Uhrig text but its explanation of the differences between the Federal and California rules is more complete.
   This book provides you with numerous multiple-choice practice questions

5. “In-Class” Methodology:
The Federal Rules of Evidence (FREs) and the California Evidence Code are the centerpieces of the course. Evidence law was, at one time, universally derived from case law (common law). Today, many jurisdictions, including California and the federal courts, have codified their respective laws of evidence. 42 states have adopted the FREs as their model; California has not. However, in all jurisdictions, case law interpretation of the evidence codes still shapes and refines the rules of evidence. Therefore, the “case method”, with which you are already familiar, will be used extensively in-class to develop your ability to apply the codes in different factual situations, including but not limited to the problems in the Wonsowicz casebook. Brief all the assigned reading cases and resolve each of the assigned problems in that book. Bring both the Wonsowicz case book and the Leach & Uhrig rules book to each class session.
California Evidence law is a bar-tested subject. This means that when you take the California Bar exam (and the exams in this class) you will be tested on the FREs and the California Evidence Code. There are some major differences between the FREs and the California Evidence Code, as well as major differences in California between admissibility of evidence in criminal cases as compared to civil cases. You will notice that the Wonsowicz casebook only addresses the FREs and does not address the California Evidence Code. Therefore, when the assigned casebook material refers to any rule of evidence, you MUST find and read:

A) The corresponding comparative facing pages (both the FRE and the Ca. Evidence Code section) in part I of the Leach & Uhrig book
B) The text of the corresponding FRE and related legislative history material in part II of the Leach & Uhrig book
and
C) The text and related legislative and law review commission comments of the corresponding California Evidence Code section in part III of the Leach & Uhrig book.

As with any statutory material, legislative history is an essential resource for statutory interpretation. Be prepared to address in class how a particular California Evidence Code section differs from its FRE counterpart. You will find the Leach & Uhrig book’s comparison of California and Federal evidence code provisions especially useful in this regard.

When an assignment includes a case from another jurisdiction that has not adopted the Federal Rules of Evidence, please prepare to address in class how you think the case would be decided under the Federal Rules and the California Evidence Code. The required reading from the casebook for each class is relatively modest, but the comparison and supplemental reading is an integral part of each class. When a class ends in the middle of a syllabus assignment, prepare for the next class by reviewing that assignment as well as any new material assigned for that next class.

The class assignments include all the problems in the assigned pages of the Wonsowicz casebook. Be prepared to discuss these Hypotheticals in class under both the Federal and California rules. There are approximately 120 practice problems in the text. In order to be prepared for class you should have prepared your answers to those practice problems before class.

We will be using the Clicker system during the class. The clicker is the QT by Turning Technology. It will be available for leasing at the bookstore or directly from the company. You may also buy one from my previous students in Evidence. You will need to register the clicker for the class at turningtechnologies.com. As an alternative, you may purchase an APP for your cellphone from Turningtechnologies. I will provide you with that information on the first day of class. You must bring your clicker or your Turningtechnologies APP with you for every class. A Clicker or the APP is a mandatory requirement for this course.

6. Preparation for Class and Classroom Participation:
Legal education is a co-operative venture that requires your active engagement in class discussion. Class Participation is also a component of the grade in this course. Students are encouraged to ask questions. All views are welcome. A common maxim in law is that “reasonable minds may differ”. You are expected to respect others who disagree with you. The quality of your answers and comments is more important than the quantity.
Class participation is a mandatory part of this course. A student whom I deem unprepared will be treated as absent for that day. You will be expected to stay sufficiently ahead of the class in your preparation to ensure your readiness to participate for each class. Neither the assertion that you did not anticipate the class getting so far, nor that you read the assignment too long ago to remember it, will excuse a failure to be prepared for class. 15% of your semester grade will be based on your preparation for class, your attendance, your class participation and any required assignments (including CALIs) that must be timely completed or turned in.

Vocabulary is important. Effective lawyers talk and write like lawyers. Use of the correct word(s) and complete, coherent sentences is not a sinister requirement of overly picayune law professors. It is essential to the effective study and practice of law. You may find a word or expression in your reading for which you just don’t know the meaning. Rather than meandering “in a fog”, try to find or ask about the meaning.

I will randomly call on students in class to describe cases or rules and to answer questions. There will be no such thing as a “free pass” day as there is normally no such thing in practice. An “I don’t know” answer will be unacceptable the entire semester. Please note, however, that there is a significant difference between being unprepared and being unable to answer a particular question. No one knows the answer to every law-related question. You will not be down-graded or ridiculed for venturing an incorrect answer. You should, however, be sufficiently familiar with the cases, notes, questions and problems to discuss them when called upon to do so. As you probably observed during other semesters, classroom participation has several benefits. First, if well done, it helps the entire class. Second, it enables you to test your knowledge and understanding. The more you test that the better you will understand the material. Finally, clear oral communication is one of the essentials of a high-quality legal work life. Among other reasons for you to be prepared is that it is fairly inconsiderate of the time and efforts of your fellow students and your professor to come to class unprepared.

Preparation is a component of the grade in this course. Students are expected to have carefully read and briefed all cases (including dissenting/concurring opinions) and to have read and analyzed each of the casebook problems scheduled for discussion in class. You are expected to spend at least 8 hours a week (i.e. 2 hours for each unit) in your preparation for this course. Each student should be prepared to be called upon in class to summarize the pertinent facts, issues, law and court findings and rulings of a case and/or discuss the resolution of any of the assigned casebook problems. The quality of the recitation is more important than the quantity. A concise summary of the pertinent facts is far better than a recitation of all the facts (many of those are not relevant to the evidentiary issues presented) in the case. In order to discuss a case intelligently in the limited time available in class, students should prepare a brief to assist them in recitation and discussion and have it readily available if called upon in class. In other words, students should not be fumbling through their textbooks or computer screens to find their “brief”. Book briefing is a poor idea and is discouraged; it is not an effective and acceptable sole method of briefing; it also often causes delays in the presentation of cases in class. Be prepared to also discuss the problems, notes and comments from the casebook.

CALI exercises are designed to supplement and test your understanding of a subject that has been covered in the Casebook and/or class. They are also good tools to practice answering multiple choice questions. You will first need to register for this class on the CALI website at cali.org. In order to access the proper CALI exercise and get credit for completing it, you MUST use the URL link provided on the webcourse for each particular assignment https://www.cali.org/courses/6311. If you do not use this URL link, you will not get credit for the
assignment. You must complete each exercise by the date indicated on the syllabus. They are due by the class immediately AFTER the particular syllabus section discussion is completed in class. Other exercises may be assigned during the semester.

7. Exams and Grading
There will be a “closed-book” midterm exam in Essay and Multiple Choice formats which will count as 15% of your final grade. There will also be a four-hour “closed-book” final examination which will count as 70% of your final grade. The final exam will consist of a multiple choice component and an essay component. **The final examination may test you on any material assigned in the Wonsowicz casebook, as well as any material covered in the assigned CALI (computer-aided) exercises.** The remaining 15% of your semester grade will be based on your preparation for class, your attendance, your class participation and timely completion of all required assignments (including CALIs). As the semester progresses, I may indicate in class and/or the webcourse any additional required assignments. It is your responsibility to be aware of any such assignments and their due dates. You should check the webcourse site regularly for any changes in the syllabus and/or any assignments.

After the first weeks of class, some past essay examinations will be placed on the webcourse site; you can use those as practice exams. As the semester progresses, if you would like feedback on your answers to some of these exam questions, please make an appointment (reserve time in the Appointment Book at the Reception Desk on the second floor). You must email me your practice exam question and answer at least 2 days before your consultation so that I can review them beforehand.

**Writing is important.** Even though many evidence issues are initially decided “on the run” in the midst, for example, of testimony by a witness, most lawyers write more than they talk. Practice good writing as much as you can. Write in short complete sentences and short paragraphs using proper grammar, spelling, punctuation, capitalization and syntax. Every single written opinion in our text and every single formal rule of evidence is the product of someone’s attempt to do some very careful, lawyerly writing.

8. Attendance & Decorum:
Successful completion of this course is dependent upon satisfaction of the W.S.C.L. Attendance Policy, which is reprinted in the Student Handbook. **IF YOU MISS MORE THAN FOUR (4) CLASSES, YOU WILL RECEIVE A GRADE OF “0” AND WILL NOT BE PERMITTED TO ATTEND SUBSEQUENT CLASSES.** Students leaving and returning to their seats, while class is in session, disrupt the concentration of students and, therefore, the learning environment. Please refrain from walking in and out during the class unless it is absolutely necessary. **Cell phones must be turned off** during class and you must not access the internet during class unless specifically requested to by me. No Texting/Tweeting or equivalent is allowed. You will be asked to leave the classroom for any violation of these rules and you will be deemed absent for that day also.

You may use your computer but it should be open to course related materials and not to your email, eBay etc. However, the use of computers in the classroom should not interfere with your ability to pay attention (**actively listening** v. just hearing the words spoken) to what is going on. I have observed that students who are too intent on recording every word spoken often will have little understanding of what those words mean.
You should be in your seat at the commencement of class. It is fairly inconsiderate (not to mention unprofessional) to walk in late. We will have a break midway during each class. Similarly, you should not leave until the end of class. If you have an emergency that causes you to have to leave during the class, please do so unobtrusively. If you have a disability which prevents you from remaining in your seat during the class, please contact the Dean of Students.

9. Seating Chart:
A seating chart will be distributed at the beginning of the second class session. Please print your name legibly in the seat you choose for your permanent seat. If you wish to change your seat at a later date, please notify me so that I can make the appropriate changes on the chart.

10. Office Hours:  Mondays 4:15-6:15pm and Wednesdays (first four weeks only) 4:15-6:15pm.
A word from the experience of the past: many students who should have seen me during office hours did not. If you feel lost or confused, you should do everything you can to get yourself to where you understand the material. That includes getting help from me during office hours. Please make appointments (at least one day in advance) through the Faculty Appointment Book located at the front desk in the Faculty Office Suite on the second floor. My office hours will be contained in the Appointment Book. As a courtesy to other students, do not sign up for a “block” of consecutive appointments, or sign up for multiple consecutive weekly appointments all at once. If needed, I will always find time to meet with you. Please put your email address and your telephone number on the appointment list, so that I may contact you if I have to reschedule your appointment. If you are running late for an appointment or if you cannot keep a scheduled appointment, please email me AND call the faculty support assistant Julie Kohler (714-459-1196) and advise her as soon as possible.

11. Webcourse:

**LexisNexis Web course: Spring 2020 Evidence**
You MUST also register on the webcourse for this class. The webcourse will provide me with a method to communicate with you by posting assignments, syllabus modifications, announcements, etc… and by mass emailing you, if necessary. I will also use the Discussion Forum on the webcourse to share my answers to students’ relevant questions. This webcourse will also provide you with the URL link that you MUST use for each assigned CALI exercise. There are computers in the library if you need to use one.

12. Disability Services Statement:

Western State College of Law provides accommodations to qualified students with disabilities. The Disabilities Services Office assists qualified students with disabilities in acquiring reasonable and appropriate accommodations and in supporting equal access to services, programs, and activities at Western State College of Law.

To seek reasonable accommodations, a student must contact Senior Assistant Dean Donna Espinoza, Student Services Director and Disabilities Services Coordinator, whose office is in the Students Services Suite 119. Dean Espinoza’s phone number and email
address are: (714) 459-1117; despinoza@wsulaw.edu. When seeking accommodations, a student should notify Dean Espinoza of her or his specific limitations and, if known, her or his specific requested accommodations. Students who seek accommodations will be asked to supply medical documentation of the need for accommodation. Classroom accommodations are not retroactive but are effective only upon the student sharing approved accommodations with the instructor or professor. Therefore, students are encouraged to request accommodations as early as feasible with Dean Espinoza to allow for time to gather necessary documentation. If you have a concern or complaint in this regard, please notify Dean Espinoza; or please notify Dean Allen Easley at aeasley@wsulaw.edu or (714) 459-1168. Complaints will be handled in accordance with the College of Law’s “Policy against Discrimination and Harassment.”

### 13. EVIDENCE 213B Spring 2020 Syllabus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week</th>
<th>3-hour classes</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Reading Assignment</th>
<th>CALI [MUST Use URL] &amp; Other Assignments</th>
<th>Federal Rules of Evidence and California Evidence Code Additional Reading Assignment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| January 22 & 27 4 hours | • Introduction to Evidence Read for background*  
• Competency of Witnesses  
• Burden of Proof  
• Introduction to Relevance;  
  o Discretion to Exclude  
  o Limiting Instruction  
Relevance:  
• Character Evidence  
• Habit | Wonosowicz casebook | 3-42*  
  46 – 66  
  68-87  
  119- 158 | STAIRCASE Clips 1-7 | * May be tested on the exams  
  FRE 401, 402, 601, 602,603,606(b)  
  Ca. Ev. 210, 350, 351, 500-522, 700-701, 702,710, 795, 1150  
  FRE 403, Ca. Ev. 352  
  FRE 105, Ca. Ev. 355  
  FRE 404,405, Ca. Ev. 1100-1101, FRE 406 E.C. 1105 |
| Jan 29 & Feb 3 4 hours | Relevance (Ct’d):  
• Character Evidence  
  o Propensity Ban  
  o Exceptions to ban Specialized Relevance Rules:  
• Subsequent Remedies  
• Offers to Compromise  
• Payment of Medical expenses  
• Pleas and pleas discussions | 159-184  
  88-117 | STAIRCASE Clips 8-10  
➔ CALI “Character Evidence Under Federal Rules” | FRE 412-413, 414,415  
Ca. Ev. 1102, 1103,1106,1108, 1109  
Prop 8 (Ca. Const. Art. I sec. 28(f)(2) [Leach p. III-171]  
P v. Ewoldt (1994) 7 Cal.4th 380  
FRE 407, 408, 409, 410,411  
Ca. Ev. 1151, 1152, 1153,1154, 1155 |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topics</th>
<th>Pages</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Feb 5 &amp; 10</td>
<td>Liability Insurance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 hours</td>
<td>Impeachment of a Witness</td>
<td>185-229</td>
<td>STAIRCASE Clips 11-14; ( \rightarrow ) CALI &quot;Impeachment and Rehabilitation of Witnesses&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rape Shield Laws</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Authentication</td>
<td>423-440</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Writings: Best Evidence Rule</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Personal Knowledge &amp; Opinions</td>
<td>441-445</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lay opinions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Experts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proper Methodology</td>
<td>445-483</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Daubert test ( \rightarrow ) Kelly-Frye test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STAIRCASE Clips 24-27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CALI &quot;Expert and Opinion Evidence&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FRE 1001-1008; Ca. Ev. 1520-1523, 1550-1551</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FRE 901-903; Ca. Ev. 1400-1421, 1450-1454, 1552-1553, 1530-1532</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FRE 701-706</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ca. Ev. 800-805, 720, 721, 722</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P. v. Leahy (1994) 8 Cal.4th 587</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Midterm</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Hearsay Rule</td>
<td>231-251</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Questions 1 – 23</td>
<td>251-253</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Forfeiture by wrongdoing</td>
<td>346-348</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Preliminary Questions of Fact</td>
<td>334-339</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dying Declarations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STAIRCASE Clips 15-17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CALI &quot;Hearsay from Square One: The Definition of Hearsay&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \rightarrow ) Turn in Answers to Q1-23 (p.243-245)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&amp; Q1-75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FRE 801</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ca. E.C. 1200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FRE 804(b)(6), Ca. E.C. 1350</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FRE 104(a) and (b) Ca. E.C. 405,403</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FRE 804(b)(2), Ca. E.C. 1242</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Questions 1-75 posted on the webcourse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| March 23 & 30 | 6 hours | - Excited Utterances  
- Present Sense Impression  
- 6 A Right of Confrontation *(Crawford v. Washington)*  
- 6A: Davis v. Washington  
- 6A: Michigan v. Bryant  
- 6A: Ohio v. Clark  
- Admissions | 285-289 | STAIRCASE Clips 18-22  
- CALI “The Concept of Hearsay”  
- CALI Confrontation of Hearsay Declarants | FRE 803 (2), Ca. E.C. 1240,  
FRE 803 (1), Ca. E.C. 1241  
| April 6 | 3 hours | - Admissions (continued)  
- Former Testimony  
- Declarations Against Interest | 326-334 |  |
| April 13 & 20 | 6 hours | - State of Mind/Physical state *(Hillmon Doctrine)*  
- Statement for Medical Diagnosis or Treatment  
- Prior Identification  
- Past Recollection Recorded  
- Business Records | 339-346 |  |
| April 27 | 3 hours | - Business Records (Ct’d)  
- Public Records  
- 6th A: *Melendez-Diaz*,  
*Bullcoming v. New Mexico*  
*Williams v. Illinois*  
- Multiple hearsay levels  
- Prior Convictions  
- Prior Inconsistent Statement  
- Prior Consistent Statement  
- Residual Exception  
- Due Process | 301-318 | Turn in Answers to Questions 76 – 125  
Questions 76-125 posted on the webcourse  
FRE 803(8), 803(10)  
*Melendez-Diaz* (2009) 557 U.S. 305  
FRE 803(22), EC 1300 | 318 | FRE 801(d)(1)(C), Ca. E.C. 1238.  
FRE 803(6) - 803(7), Ca. E.C. 1270-1280 | 395-414 |  |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>May 4</th>
<th>Privileges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 3 hours | - Attorney-Client  
| | - Psychotherapist-Patient  
| | - Physician-Patient  
| | - Marital  
| | - 5th Amendment  
| | - Other privileges  
| | - Waiver of privilege |
| | 493-511 |
| | 519-528 |
| | 511-519 |
| | 528-530 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>May 4</th>
<th>STAIRCASE Clips 28-29</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| | FRE 501, Ca. E.C. 911-919  
| | Ca. E.C. 1010-1027.  
| | 5th Amendment, Ca. E.C. 930, 940  
| | Ca. E.C. 1030-1034  
| | FRE 502 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TBD</th>
<th>Review Session</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Suggested review Qs:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CALI FRE 801(d) &amp; Multiple Hearsay</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Suggested review Qs:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preliminary Fact finding under Rule 104</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Suggested review Qs:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CALI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Professor Robert Molko
It's in the syllabus

This message brought to you by every instructor that ever lived.

www.phdcomics.com
The Staircase Video Clips

The material in our casebook is accompanied by a series of clips from the 2004 documentary The Staircase. These clips are hosted on CAP’s private Vimeo account. Each video requires the password “WonsowiczEvidence” to be viewed. Please use the following links to access these clips:

Chapter 1: https://vimeo.com/227635969
Chapter 2: https://vimeo.com/227743187
Chapter 3: https://vimeo.com/227743287
Chapter 4: https://vimeo.com/227743344
Chapter 5: https://vimeo.com/227743407
Chapter 6: https://vimeo.com/227743490
Chapter 7: https://vimeo.com/227743565
Chapter 8: https://vimeo.com/227743629
Chapter 9: https://vimeo.com/227743715
Chapter 10: https://vimeo.com/227743796
Chapter 11: https://vimeo.com/227743856
Chapter 12: https://vimeo.com/227743823
Chapter 13: https://vimeo.com/227743828
Chapter 14: https://vimeo.com/227744461
Chapter 15: https://vimeo.com/227743857
Chapter 16: https://vimeo.com/227743884
Chapter 17: https://vimeo.com/227743895
Chapter 19: https://vimeo.com/227744874
Chapter 20: https://vimeo.com/227744891
Chapter 21: https://vimeo.com/227744906
Chapter 22: https://vimeo.com/227744922
Chapter 23: https://vimeo.com/227744936
Chapter 24: https://vimeo.com/227745216
Chapter 25: https://vimeo.com/227745462
Chapter 26: https://vimeo.com/227745232
Chapter 27: https://vimeo.com/227745296
Chapter 28: https://vimeo.com/227745850
Chapter 29, clip 1: https://vimeo.com/227745648
14. Western State College of Law – Programmatic Learning Outcomes

Western State College of Law’s curriculum is designed so that every student achieves a level of competency prior to graduation in each of the eight Programmatic Learning Outcomes listed below:

(1) **Doctrinal Knowledge**
Students will demonstrate knowledge of substantive and procedural law in the core curriculum subjects, including Contracts, Criminal Law, Criminal Procedure, Torts, Real Property, Business Association, Evidence, Civil Procedures, Constitutional Law, Estates, Community Property, Remedies, and Professional Responsibility.

(2) **Practice Skills**
Students will demonstrate the development of other law practice skills. Each student’s chosen outcomes within this category will be varied based on the student’s particular interests, coursework and work experiences. They may include, but are not limited to, the following topics: oral presentation and advocacy; interviewing; counseling; client service and business development; negotiations, mediation, arbitration, or other alternate dispute resolution methods; advanced legal research and writing (excluding purely academic papers and the first four units earned in introductory first-year legal research and writing class); applied legal writing such as drafting contracts, pleadings, other legal instruments; law practice management or the use of technology in law practice; cultural competency; collaboration or project management; financial analysis, such as accounting, budgeting project management, and valuation; cost benefit analysis in administrative agencies; use of technology, data analyses, or predictive coding; business strategy and behavior; pre-trial preparation, fact investigation, such as discovery, e-discovery, motion practice, assessing evidence, or utilizing experts; trial practice; professional civility and applied ethics; a law clinic that includes a classroom component; or a legal externship that includes a classroom component.

(3) **Legal Analysis**
Students will demonstrate the ability to identify the factual and legal issues implicated by a fact pattern and to appropriately use cases (including identifying the salient features of an appropriate precedent case, identifying legally significant similarities or differences between the precedent case and a fact pattern and explaining why those are legally significant) and rules (including the ability to connect legally significant facts in a fact pattern to the rule) to predict how a court would decide the issue. Students will also demonstrate the ability to identify and evaluate the public policies of a precedent case or rule and be able to evaluate how public policy can impact the application of a rule to the legal issue.
(4) **Legal Research**
Students will demonstrate the ability to locate relevant legal authority using a variety of book and electronic resources, and to properly cite to such legal authority.

(5) **Communication**
Students will demonstrate the ability to communicate both orally and in writing in a manner appropriate to a particular task to effectively convey the author or speaker’s ideas. This includes audience sensitivity in written and oral communication (the ability to adopt a tone, style and level of detail appropriate to the needs, knowledge and expertise of the audience); and written communication basic proficiency (the ability to use the conventions of grammar, spelling, punctuation, diction and usage appropriate to the task and sufficient to convey effectively the author’s ideas).

(6) **Advocacy of Legal Argument**
Students will demonstrate the ability, in both oral and written formats, to evaluate the legal, economic and social strengths and weaknesses of a case and use case and statutory authority as well as public policy to persuade others. Making policy-based arguments includes the ability to identify and evaluate the public policies of a precedent case or rule and their implications, and be able to assert such appropriate arguments to support a particular application or distinction of a precedent case to a legal controversy or a particular resolution of the application of a rule to the legal controversy.

(7) **Client Sensitivity and Cultural Competency**
Students will demonstrate an awareness of clients’ needs and goals, including a sensitivity to clients’ background and circumstances (including, but not limited to, socio-economic, gender, race, ethnicity, educational, disability and/or religious background(s)), the ability to make decisions that reflect an appropriate focus on those needs and goals, and awareness that cultural issues may affect the relevance of facts and application of the law.

(8) **Legal Ethics**
Students will demonstrate the ability to identify ethical issues in law practice contexts and make appropriate decisions to resolve such issues.