

WESTERN STATE COLLEGE OF LAW

Criminal Justice Ethics 619A



Spring Session 2019
Tuesdays: 5:20 – 6:20 PM
Professor Dave Porter



I. Course Description

Criminal Justice Ethics (EL / 1 unit)

This course examines in detail the ethical considerations faced by criminal defense attorneys and prosecutors in the practice of criminal law. If I know my client is guilty, but someone else confesses to the crime, do I have to tell anyone? Do I need to disclose the fact that acetone was accidentally spilled on the Breathalyzer machine in the crime lab? What do I do if my client's girlfriend gives me a baggie of marijuana? What is a *Marsden* hearing? Can I accept sex in lieu of money for payment on a case? These questions, as well as many more, will be answered!

II. Required Text

Pamphlet available on Lexis Web course page – Criminal Justice Ethics – Tuesday 5:20-6:20 pm - Porter. You must bring this pamphlet to class every day. I have also occasionally assigned additional cases and statutory code sections that you must read prior to each class. Please locate the additional cases and statutory code sections via Lexis or Westlaw.

III. Examination and Grading

Students shall receive a numeric grade for this course. Grades will be based upon a final examination, which will consist of a one and one-half (1 ½) hour test comprised of multiple choice and short answer questions, each worth 50% of you're the final examination. The final examination is worth 90% of your grade.

Attendance and Participation

Attendance and participation are required for all classes. Late arrivals and early departures from class by students are deemed partial absences. Multiple partial absences may be deemed as one or more entire absences from class. Pursuant to the Western State College of Law Attendance Policy, students may miss a maximum of two (2) classes in a course given once per week. All cases must be briefed for class. Attendance and Participation are worth 10% of your grade.

IV. Learning Outcome

Students will learn the ability to identify ethical issues in law practice contexts which will enable them to make appropriate decisions to resolve such issues.

V. Availability of Professor for Outside Counseling

Please contact Professor Porter via e-mail at daveporter@cox.net or by phone at (949) 351-1394.

VI. Dates, Topics & Reading Assignments

This course will consist of a weekly one (1) hour in class lecture, supplemented by PowerPoint presentations which will be posted after each class, and a minimum of two (2) hours outside preparation which requires reading all cited cases, statutes and additional handouts. **ALL CITED CASES MUST BE BRIEFED FOR CLASS.**

CLASS

T 1/15/19-1st Class

SUBJECT MATTER

Intro / Attorney-Client Privilege

Read: California Rules of Professional Conduct (CRPC) 1.1, 1.3, 1.6; American Bar Association Model Rules of Professional Conduct (MR) 1.8; California Business and Professions Code (BP) section 6068(d) & (e); California Evidence Code (EC) sections 952; California Penal Code (PC) section 636; *People v. Canfield* (1974) 12 Cal.3d 699

T 1/22/19-2nd Class

Attorney-Client Privilege – Disclosures, Negotiations

Read: CRPC 1.1, 1.3, 1.6, 3.6, 3.3, 3.4; MR 1.6, 3.3, 3.4, 3.6; BP 6068(e); EC 953, 954; PC 135, 1054; *People v. Meredith* (1981) 29 Cal.3d 682

T 1/29/19-3rd Class

Attorney-Client Privilege – Disclosures, Prior Convictions; Perjury and the Criminal Defense Attorney – Constitutional Right to Testify, Lying Client

Read: CRPC 1.7, 3.3; MR 1.1, 3.3, 4.1; BP 6068(d); PC 126, 127; U.S. Constitution Fifth and Sixth Amendments; *Rock v. Arkansas* (1987) 483 U.S. 44; *People v. Guzman* (1988) 45 Cal.3d 915; *People v. Johnson* (1998) 62 Cal.App.4th 608; *People v. Jennings* (1999) 70 Cal.App.4th 899; *Nix v. Whiteside* (1986) 475 U.S. 157; Handouts given in last class {OCBA 2003-01 Formal Opinion

T 2/5/19-4th Class

Ineffective Assistance of Counsel (IAC)

Read: CRPC 1.1, 1.3, 1.6; *Strickland v. Washington* (1984) 466 U.S. 668; *People v. Marsden* (1970) 2 Cal.3d 118; review *Nix v. Whiteside* (1986) 475 U.S. 157

T 2/12/19-5th Class

Conflicts of Interest and the Criminal Defense Attorney – Fee Arrangements, Conflicts

Read: CRPC 1.1, 1.5, 1.7, 1.8, 1.8.10, 1.9, 1.16; MR 1.5, 1.8 1.16; *People v. Lopez* (2008) 168 Cal.App.4th 801

T 2/26/19-6th Class

Conflicts of Interest and the Criminal Defense Attorney – Representing Multiple Defendants, The Accused and Mental Disabilities, Sexual Relations

Read: CRPC1.8.10, 1.7(c)(2); MR 1.8, 1.9, 1.14; PC 1203.03, 1368; *Medina v. California* (1992) 505 U.S. 437; *Barbara A. v. John G.* (1983) 145 Cal.App.3d 369

T 3/12/19-7th Class

State Bar Court; Interplay of ABA Model Rules and CA Rules of Professional Conduct

Read: *Brady v. Maryland* (1963) 373 U.S. 83

T 3/19/19-8th Class

Special Duties of Prosecutors – Prosecutorial Misconduct, Discretion and the Charging Function, Pre-plea Negotiations

Read: CRPC 3.8, 3.3, 3.4, 3.7; MR 3.4, 3.8, 8.4; BP 6068(o), 6086.7; *Berger v. United States* (1935) 295 U.S. 78; *Missouri v. Frye* (2012) 132 S.Ct. 1399; *Lafler v. Cooper* (2012) 132 S.Ct. 1376

T 3/26/19-9th Class

Special Duties of Prosecutors – Brady/Discovery, Duty to Disclose Adverse Facts and Law

Read: CRPC 3.4, 3.8(d); MR 3.4, 3.8; PC 1054.1, 1054.3; *Brady v. Maryland* (1963) 373 U.S. 83; *People v. Riggs* (2008) 44 Cal.4th 248; *Roland v. Superior Court* (2004) 124 Cal.App.4th 154

T 4/2/19-10th Class

Special Duties of Prosecutors – Pretrial Publicity, Jury Selection, Contact with Unrepresented Persons, Judges (Ex parte), Jurors, and Witnesses

Read: CRPC 4.2, 3.4, 3.5, 3.6, 3.7; MR 3.6, 3.8, 4.2, 4.3, BP 6068(a); *Sheppard v. Maxwell* (1966) 384 U.S. 333; *Batson v. Kentucky* (1986) 476 U.S. 79; *People v. Wheeler* (1978) 22 Cal.3d 258; *Johnson v. California* (2005) 545 U.S. 162

T 4/9/19-11th Class

Special Duties of Prosecutors – Argument, Griffin Error, Doyle Error, Recusal

Read: PC 1424; *Griffin v. California* (1965) 380 U.S. 609; *Doyle v. Ohio* (1976) 426 U.S. 610; *Hollywood v. Superior Court of Santa Barbara County* (2008) 43 Cal.4th 721

T 4/16/19-12th Class

Special Duties of Prosecutors Continued

T 4/23/19-13th Class

GUEST SPEAKER

T 4/30/19-14th Class

FINAL REVIEW

Final Exam

TBA

I. WESTERN STATE COLLEGE OF LAW DISABILITY SERVICES STATEMENT:

Western State College of Law provides accommodations to qualified students with disabilities. The **Disabilities** Services Office assists qualified students with disabilities in acquiring reasonable and appropriate accommodations and in supporting equal access to services, programs, and activities at Western State College of Law.

To seek reasonable accommodations, a student must contact Senior Assistant Dean Donna Espinoza, Student Services Director and Disabilities Services Coordinator, whose office is in the Second Floor Students Services Suite. Dean Espinoza's phone number and email address are: (714) 459-1117;

despinoza@wsulaw.edu. When seeking accommodations, a student should notify Dean Espinoza of her or his specific limitations and, if known, her or his specific requested accommodations. Students who seek accommodations will be asked to supply medical documentation of the need for accommodation. Classroom accommodations are not retroactive, but are effective only upon the student sharing approved accommodations with the instructor or professor. Therefore, students are encouraged to request accommodations as early as feasible with Dean Espinoza to allow for time to gather necessary documentation. If you have a concern or complaint in this regard, please notify Dean Espinoza; or please notify Dean Allen Easley at (714) 459-1168; aeasley@wsulaw.edu. Complaints will be handled in accordance with the College of Law's "Policy against Discrimination and Harassment."

II. ARGOSY UNIVRESITY INSTITUTIONAL LEARNING OUTCOMES:

1. Analytical Reasoning

Analyze issues objectively, interpret and synthesize data and ideas, and develop feasible, flexible, and creative solutions to real world problems

2. Effective Communication

Identify audiences, assess information provided, interpret needs, and present relevant information using appropriate written, oral, and listening skills and media to meet the needs of the situation

3. Information Competency

Gather, evaluate, and ethically use information from a variety of relevant technological and library resources to make decisions and take action

4. Interpersonal Effectiveness

Develop individual and group interpersonal skills to improve and foster participation and interaction critical for achieving individual and group goals

5. Personal and Professional Integrity and Ethical Behavior

Demonstrate a multi dimensional awareness of individual and social responsibility to act ethically and with integrity in a diverse, global society.

6. Professional Competence

Apply skills appropriate to program objectives and employ critical reasoning to contribute to one's field and profession

**III. WESTERN STATE COLLEGE OF LAW-PROGRAMMATIC LEARNING
OUTCOMES**

Western State College of Law's curriculum is designed so that every student achieves a level of competency prior to graduation in each of the eight Programmatic Learning Outcomes listed below:

(1) Doctrinal Knowledge

Students will demonstrate knowledge of substantive and procedural law in the core curriculum subjects, including Contracts, Criminal Law, Criminal Procedure, Torts, Real Property, Business Association, Evidence, Civil Procedures, Constitutional Law, Estates, Community Property, Remedies, and Professional Responsibility.

(2) Practice Skills

Students will demonstrate the development of other law practice skills. Each student's chosen outcomes within this category will be varied based on the student's particular interests, coursework and work experiences. They may include, but are not limited to, the following topics: oral

presentation and advocacy; interviewing; counseling; client service and business development; negotiations, mediation, arbitration, or other alternate dispute resolution methods; advanced legal research and writing (excluding purely academic papers and the first four units earned in introductory first-year legal research and writing class); applied legal writing such as drafting contracts, pleadings, other legal instruments; law practice management or the use of technology in law practice; cultural competency; collaboration or project management; financial analysis, such as accounting, budgeting project management, and valuation; cost benefit analysis in administrative agencies; use of technology, data analyses, or predictive coding; business strategy and behavior; pre-trial preparation, fact investigation, such as discovery, e-discovery, motion practice, assessing evidence, or utilizing experts; trial practice; professional civility and applied ethics; a law clinic that includes a classroom component; or a legal externship that includes a classroom component.

(3) Legal Analysis

Students will demonstrate the ability to identify the factual and legal issues implicated by a fact pattern and to appropriately use cases (including identifying the salient features of an appropriate precedent case, identifying legally significant similarities or differences between the precedent case and a fact pattern and explaining why those are legally significant) and rules (including the ability to connect legally significant facts in a fact pattern to the rule) to predict how a court would decide the issue. Students will also demonstrate the ability to identify and evaluate the public policies of a precedent case or rule, and be able to evaluate how public policy can impact the application of a rule to the legal issue.

(4) Legal Research

Students will demonstrate the ability to locate relevant legal authority using a variety of book and electronic resources, and to properly cite to such legal authority.

(5) Communication

Students will demonstrate the ability to communicate both orally and in writing in a manner appropriate to a particular task to effectively convey the author or speaker's ideas. This includes audience sensitivity in written and oral communication (the ability to adopt a tone, style and level of detail appropriate to the needs, knowledge and expertise of the audience); and written communication basic proficiency (the ability to use the conventions of grammar, spelling, punctuation, diction and usage appropriate to the task and sufficient to convey effectively the author's ideas).

(6) Advocacy of Legal Argument

Students will demonstrate the ability, in both oral and written formats, to evaluate the legal, economic and social strengths and weaknesses of a case and use case and statutory authority as well as public policy to persuade others. Making policy-based arguments includes the ability to identify and evaluate the public policies of a precedent case or rule and their implications, and be able to assert such appropriate arguments to support a particular application or distinction of a precedent case to a legal controversy or a particular resolution of the application of a rule to the legal controversy.

(7) Client Sensitivity and Cultural Competency

Students will demonstrate an awareness of clients' needs and goals, including a sensitivity to clients' background and circumstances (including, but not limited to, socio-economic, gender, race, ethnicity, educational, disability and/or religious background(s)), the ability to make decisions that reflect an appropriate focus on those needs and goals, and awareness that cultural issues may affect the relevance of facts and application of the law.

(8) Legal Ethics

Students will demonstrate the ability to identify ethical issues in law practice contexts and make appropriate decisions to resolve such issues.