WESTERN STATE COLLEGE OF LAW READING ASSIGNMENT – WEEKS 1 & 2

COURSE: CONTRACTS II SECTIONS: 112 – A & C SEMESTER: SPRING 2015 TIME: T/TH 1:00 – 2:30 P.M. (Section A); T 6:30 – 9:45 P.M. (Section C) PROFESSOR: MOHR

I. <u>REQUIRED BOOKS</u>. The required books must be brought to all classes. You are expected to have read any statute or Restatement sections in the <u>Selections</u> to which the cases or other material in the Casebook refer.

E. Allan Farnsworth, William F. Young, Carol Sanger, Neil B. Cohen & Richard R. Brooks, <u>Cases & Materials on Contracts</u> (8th ed. Found Press 2013).
E. Allan Farnsworth, William Young & Carol Sanger, <u>Selections for Contracts 2013</u>.
Kevin Mohr, <u>Spring 2015 Supplemental Case Materials</u> (2013) ("Supp.") (Available on Course Web Site.)

II. OPTIONAL TEXTS

Marvin A. Chirelstein, <u>Concepts and Case Analysis in Contracts</u> (7th ed. Foundation 2013) (<u>Highly</u> recommended). E. Allan Farnsworth, <u>Contracts</u> (4th ed. Aspen 2004) (Hornbook); <u>or</u> John D. Calamari & Joseph M. Perillo, <u>The Law of Contracts</u> (6th ed. West 2009) (Hornbook).

III. <u>COURSE SCHEDULE</u>. Please note that class coverage of reading assignments is fluid, i.e., we will not always cover all of the assigned material during the scheduled class periods. Often, we will carry over the assignments to the next week, particularly at the beginning of the semester. It is your responsibility to keep track of how far we have gone in the previous class and make sure you are prepared. You will not be excused from being prepared because you read the case two weeks before and "don't remember" it. <u>I expect you to be prepared on the first day of class</u>; at a minimum, you must have prepared an issue statement for each main case.¹ Also, please note that the syllabus and full reading schedule, as well as a supplement of additional cases we will cover during the semester, will be available during the first week of class.

<u>NOTE</u>: Underlined cases are in the <u>Spring 2015 Supplemental Case Materials</u>, available on the Course Web Site. The <u>Riverisland</u> and <u>Rosen</u> cases are available in the Supplement.

WEEK	DATES ²	PAGES ³	TOPIC	MAIN CASES & NOTES (Pages)
1	1/13, 1/15 1/13		DETERMINING THE PARTIES DUTIES UNDER THE CONTRACT [Chapter 5]	
		405-418	SECTION 1. <u>EXPRESS PROMISES I – THE</u> <u>PAROL EVIDENCE RULE – DETERMINING</u> <u>THE SUBJECT MATTER TO BE</u> <u>INTERPRETED</u> ⁴	INTRODUCTION (405-407); Gianni v. R. Russell & Co. (407- 410); Notes 1&2 (410); Masterson v. Sine (411-415); Notes (415-416); Bollinger v. Central Penn. Quarry (416-417); Notes 1,3 (417-418); Problem (418); <u>Riverisland v. Fresno- Madera</u> (Supp. 8).

¹ <u>To be minimally prepared</u>, I expect that for <u>every</u> assigned case, <u>every</u> student will have <u>typed</u> an issue statement (<u>double-spaced</u>) that incorporates both facts & law. See <u>Case Briefs: How to Brief A Case</u> (Mohr), Section II.D., on writing issue statements – available on WSU web site and the course web site.

² Dates in bold are for Day Section (112-A); dates in normal font are for Evening Section (112-C).

³ Page references are to the Farnsworth & Young casebook. References to "Supp. ____" means the listed case is in the Spring 2015 Case Supplement.

⁴ Put another way, the parol evidence rule is used to identify what are the terms of the contract (written & oral) the court is asked to enforce.

K2 - S2015 - Week 1&2 Reading Assgt - MOHR - FIN (01-07-15).doc

<u>WEEK</u>	DATES ²	PAGES ³	TOPIC	MAIN CASES & NOTES (Pages)
1	1/13, 1/15 1/13	421-439	Section 2. <u>The Use of Extrinsic</u> <u>Evidence of the Parties' Intent</u> (Interpretation of Meaning)	Introduction (421); Pacific Gas & Elec. v. G.W. Thomas (421- 424); Notes (424); <u>Rosen v. State Farm Gen. Ins.</u> (Supp. 21); METHODS OF INTERPRETING AMBIGUOUS CONTRACTS (433- 434); Notes (434); Problems 1-5 (435-436); RULES IN AID OF INTERPRETATION (436-438); Note (438); Problem (438-439); FUNCTION OF JUDGE AND JURY (439); Note (439).
2	1/20, 1/22 1/20	401-409	SECTION 3. <u>THE USE OF EXTRINSIC</u> EVIDENCE FROM COMMERCIAL CONTEXT (INTERPRETATION OF MEANING)	Frigaliment Importing v. Int'l Sales (440-444); Notes (444-445); Problem (445); Hurst v. W.J. Lake Co. (446); Problem (448).
		448-459.	SECTION 4. <u>THE USE OF EXTRINSIC</u> <u>EVIDENCE TO SUPPLEMENT OR QUALIFY</u> <u>THE AGREEMENT: COURSE OF DEALING,</u> <u>USAGE OF TRADE, AND COURSE OF</u> <u>PERFORMANCE</u>	Columbia Nitrogen v. Royster Co. (456-458).
		459-467	SECTION 5. OBJECTIVE INTERPRETATION AND ITS LIMITS	Introduction to Raffles v. Wichelhaus (459); Raffles v. Wichelhaus (459-460); Notes 1&2 (460-461); Oswald v. Allen (462); Notes (462-463).
		467-474	SECTION 6. <u>SUPPLEMENTING THE</u> <u>AGREEMENT WITH TERMS SUPPLIED BY</u> LAW: GAP FILLERS, WARRANTIES, AND <u>MANDATORY TERMS⁵</u>	FILLING CONTRACTUAL GAPS – GENERALLY (468-469); FILLING COMMON CONTRACTUAL GAPS BY STATUTE (469-470); GAP FILLING WITH RESPECT TO PRODUCT QUALITY – IMPLIED WARRANTIES IN ARTICLE 2 (470) IMPLIED WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILTIY (470-471) IMPLIED WARRANTY FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE (474)
		Various	"Bonus" Section. <u>Implied Promises</u> – <u>Filling Gaps (Good Faith, Best</u> <u>Efforts, Termination)</u> ⁶	Introduction (550); Mattei v. Hopper (76-79); Wood v. Lucy, Lady Duff Gordon (86-88).

⁵ This section addresses gap-filling terms as provided by statute, specifically Article 2 of the UCC. Compare these statutory gap-fillers to the court-created gap-fillers in the "Bonus" Section. See note 6.

After it has determined what the contract's terms are and what they mean (thus helping to establish the respective rights and duties of the parties), a court sometimes reads into the contract other rights and duties that the parties may not have expressly included. Such rights and duties are often based on matters of public policy. K2 - S2015 - Week 1&2 Reading Assgt - MOHR - FIN (01-07-15).doc